

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

TERESA WILSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:13-cv-832

Judge Peter C. Economus

Magistrate Judge King

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation (“R & R”) of the Magistrate Judge. (ECF No. 28.) The Magistrate Judge recommended that the Court grant Plaintiff’s motion for attorney’s fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act (“EAJA”), 28 U.S.C. § 2412.

No party has objected to the R & R and the time period for filing objections has past. The R & R of the Magistrate Judge specifically advised the parties that failure to object to the R & R within fourteen days results in a “waiver of the right to *de novo* review by the District Judge and waiver of the right to appeal the judgment of the District Court.” (ECF No. 28 at 7.)

The Court has reviewed the R & R of the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Noting that no objections have been filed and that the time for filing such objections has expired, the Court **ADOPTS** the R & R of the Magistrate Judge and awards attorney’s fees to Plaintiff. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to remove this action from the Court’s pending case list.

IT IS SO ORDERED.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE